# A MATEMATIKA ALAPJAI, 8. ELŐADÁS

András Kornai

#### BMETE91AM35 2021-22 Őszi félév

## IGAZSÁG

- Kétféle igazságfogalom van: szintaktikai és szemantikai
- Jelben ⊢ '-ből következik' or -ből levezethető' ahol A ⊢ B azt jelenti B formálisan levezethető (bizonyítható) A-ból. Pl. a legtöbb rendszerben x = 3 ∧ y = x ⊢ y = 3, és van egyfajta *bizonyításelmélet* (proof theory) ami képes erre. Ebben csak képleteket manipulálunk: meglevő képletekből újakat vezetünk le mechanikusan
- Van továbbá a ⊨ 'modellálja' reláció ahol A ⊨ B azt jelenti, hogy minden modellben ahol A teljesül ott B is igaz. Ehhez modellelemélet kell ami megmondja, hogy mi a viszony egy elmélet (formulahalmaz) és struktúrált halmazok (modellek) közt

• Jól megcsinált rendszerekben  $A \vdash B$  -ből következik  $A \models B$ 

## A fordítottja nem igaz!

- In many well-crafted systems (e.g. the first order formulation of Peano Arithmetic) there are statements which are semantically true e.g. PA ⊨ Goodstein's Theorem, but *has no proof there*
- If it has no proof, how do we know it's true? Because in a stronger system (in this case, 2nd order arithmetic) we can prove it
- That the converse is not true for systems endowed with a bit of arithmetic is the celebrated Gödel Incompleteness Theorem
- Our interest here is with the less celebrated, but just as important, Gödel Completeness Theorem
- This says that every formula that is true in all structures is provable
- Wait, how can these both be true? The answer is that PA has more models in first-order axiomatization than in second-order

## GOODSTEIN'S THEOREM

- When we write a natural number n in base b this means we express it as  $\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{j_i} b^{e_{j_i}}$  where the digits  $c_{j_i}$  are between 1 and b-1 and the exponents  $e_{j_i}$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.
- In *hereditary* base *b* notation we force the exponents to be also written in base *b* and the exponents therein, and so on, until all digits are < *b* everywhere.
- The Goodstein sequence G(n)(k) for a number n begins with G(n)(2) = n, written in hereditary base 2. Next replace each occurence of 2 by 3 (in general, each occurrence of b by b + 1) and subtract 1. Since you increase the base and subtract only one the sequence increases very very fast.
- **Goodstein's Theorem** Every Goodstein sequence terminates in 0 in finitely many steps
- Once you have the theory of *ordinals* at your disposal, the theorem is easy to prove. But no proof without such powerful theory exists (Kirby and Paris 1982).

#### PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

- Any statement that can be true or false (in either of the senses discussed above) is called a proposition. These come in two basic varieties: a has property P and the relation R holds between some elements. Examples of the first: 57 is prime, of the second: 2 + 3 = 4
- Things that are *not* propositions include imperatives *Go home!* and questions *Where is Johnny?*
- Declarative statements using variables are called **open propositions** 'x is prime'. These can get a truth value either by substitution '17 is prime' and '18 is prime' both have a truth value or by quantification (part of FOL, but not PL)
- ZFC Axiom 3 (comprehension) creates the connection between PL and set theory: for any open sentence  $\phi(x, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  and any set A there exists a set B containing all and only those elements x of A for which  $\phi(x, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  holds. 'elements of a set satisfying some proposition can be collected in a set'

5/8

### BOOLEAN OPERATIONS

- Well, what are operations? Operations are like addition, multiplication, negation... How can we define operations?
- We don't need new machinery! *Binary* operations are **functions** with two variables. *Unary* operations are functions with one variable (minus, reciprocal, ...) *Nullary operations* are functions that don't depend on any variable, **constants**.
- A structure is a set S and some operations. For example groups have a nullary operation (the unit e), a unary operation (<sup>-1</sup>), and a binary operation (multiplication) which satisfy some identities (group axioms). On occasion, we don't insist that an operation be everywhere defined.
- $\bullet\,$  One set of operations that matters in PL are the Boolean  $\neg,\wedge,\vee\,$
- These are 'truth functional' only the truth of the operands matters for establishing the truth of the result

### IMPLICATION IN PL

- We define  $P \to Q$  by  $\neg P \lor Q$
- This has interesting consequences, the most import being ex falso quidlibet 'everything follows from a false statement' or if you start with a false premiss, you can derive any conclusion from it
- Solution We also define truth-functional equivalence P ≡ Q by  $P → Q \land Q → P$
- Remember sets satisfied the de Morgan identities  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$  and  $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ ? In PL they are satisfied by  $\neg(A \lor B) = \neg A \land \neg B$  and  $\neg(A \land B) = \neg A \lor \neg B$

# HÁZI FELADATOK

- Jövő hétre: CPZ 2.8; 2.26; 2.32; 2.44; 2.59 és a következő HW8.6: Ismerd meg a latex tabular környezetet és készíts igazságtáblát a következő oszlopokkal: P, Q, ¬P, ¬Q, P → Q, ¬Q → ¬P
- Csak a pdf kell (a latex forráskód nem) és az email tárgya Subject: MATALAP NEPTUN HW8